Documents incorporated by reference in but not attached to the Complaint:

Exhibit A: Mark Leslie's email to Veritas Software Corporation's ("Veritas") Board of Directors and Audit Committee dated October 2, 2000.

Audit Committee Member Steve Brooks' email to Veritas' Board of Directors Exhibit B: dated October 3, 2000.

SEC Filings:

Exhibit C: Veritas' Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2000, filed with the SEC March 29, 2001.

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

1

2

3

4

5

6

Exhibit D: Veritas' Form 10-K/A filed with the SEC on March 17, 2003

Exhibit E: Veritas' Form 8-K filed with the SEC on October 12, 2000 announcing Veritas'

financial results for Q3 2000. 10

> Exhibit F: Veritas' Form 8-K filed with the SEC on January 24, 2001, announcing Veritas'

financial results for O4 2000.

I. **ARGUMENT**

On a motion to dismiss, the Court "may take judicial notice of documents on which allegations in [the Complaint] necessarily rely, even if not expressly referenced in the [Complaint], provided the authenticity of those documents [is] not in dispute." In re Calpine Corp. Sec. Litig., 288 F. Supp. 2d 1054, 1076 (N.D. Cal. 2003) (citing Parrino v. FHP, Inc., 146 F. 3d 699, 705-6 (9th Cir. 1998); Branch v. Tunnell, 14 F. 3d 449, 454 (9th Cir. 1994), overruled on other grounds by Galbraith v. County of Santa Clara, 307 F. 3d 1119 (9th Cir. 2002). This Court should take judicial notice Exhibits A and B because they are documents expressly referenced in the Complaint and no party questions their authenticity. *Id.*; (Complaint ¶¶ 27-28).

This Court should also take judicial notice of Exhibits C-G is because they are on file with the Securities and Exchange Commission, a public agency, they are directly referenced or relied upon in the Complaint, and their validity is not subject to honest dispute. (Complaint ¶14, 49 and 50); See In re Calpine, 288 F. Supp. 2d at 1076 In re Silicon Graphics Inc. Sec. Litig., 183 F.3d 970, 986 (9th Cir. 1999)(allowing judicial notice of SEC documents).

Defendant's request should be granted because Defendant Leslie is only requesting that the Court take notice of the existence and contents of the documents, not the truth of the statements in the documents.

(415) 954-4400